

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS O'D, Bot 1459 Alexandia, Yiginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,480		02/27/2002	Kazuyuki Miya	L9289.02130	3434	
24257	759	0 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
STEVEN 1615 L ST		VIS MILLER & MO	ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF			
SUITE 85		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHIN	GTON	, DC 20036	2155			
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s						
		10/069,48	0	MIYA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Shawki S.		2155					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 17 March 2006.							
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.						
7—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-37</u> is/are pending in the application.									
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>26-37</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:									

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. This communication is responsive to the RCE amendment filed on March 17, 2006.

Claims 1-25 have been cancelled.

Claims 26-37 have been newly added.

Claims 26-37 are pending examination.

The New Grounds of Rejection

2. Applicant's amendment and arguments received on March 17, 2006 have been fully considered, however they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26, 31, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant state selected base station in the claim but does not mention on what basis the selection is being made. It is unclear from the claim language why a base station would be selected if all base stations contain identical an identical sequence of informational packets to be communicated.

Claims 30 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "applies greater power". It is also unclear what is meant by information that is not communicated with the packet identifier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 26-27, 30-35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Neumiller et al., (Neumiller) U.S. Patent No. 6,226,283.
- 6. As to claim 26, Neumiller teaches a fast packet transmission system comprising: a plurality of base stations that each store an identical sequence of informational packets to be communicated (see Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 29-36); and

a communication terminal that communicates to a selected one of the base stations a packet identifier identifying a next packet within the sequence of packets to be communicated by the selected base station (see Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 21-34), wherein:

only the selected base station communicates to the communication termini the packet identified by the communicated packet identifier (see Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 21-34).

7. As to claim 27, Neumiller teach the system of claim 26, wherein the communication terminal communicates the packet identifier identifying the next packet

to be communicated only when the communication terminal selects a different base station to communicate the next packet than was used to communicate the most recently received packet of the sequence of packets (see Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 21-34).

- 8. As to claim 30, Neumiller teach the system of claim 26, wherein the communication terminal applies greater power to the transmission of the packet identifier than to the transmission of information that is not communicated with the packet identifier (see Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 21-34).
- 9. Claims 31-37 do not teach or define any new limitation above claims 26-30, therefore they are rejected for similar reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 28, 29 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neumiller et al., (Neumiller) U.S. Patent No. 6,226,283 and in view of Parkvall et al., (Jones) U.S. Patent No. 6,542,736.
- 12. As to claims 28, 29 and 36, Neumiller teach the system as described above. Neumiller does not explicitly teach wherein the communication terminal identifies, in the communication identifying the next packet to be communicated, the type of modulation the selected base station is to use in communicating the next packet.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,480 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

Parkvall teaches data communications in a radio communications system, and more specifically, to adaptation of a radio link to a mobile terminal based on current radio communication conditions. Link adaptation may be accomplished by changing the transmit power of the base station, e.g., increasing the transmit power level for data transmitted to mobile terminals with a bad channel quality. Link adaptation may also be accomplished by changing the type of modulation and amount of channel coding applied to the data to be transmitted by the base station (see abstract, col. 2, lines 37-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Parkvall into the invention of Neumiller in order to be able attain better channel quality and to maximize the data transmission rate.

13. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's amendment and arguments received on March 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,480 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail Patent Examiner May 10, 2006

> SALEH NAJJAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER